

Guest Editorial eucrim 4/2015

Christos Hadjiemmanuil



eucrim

European Law Forum: Prevention • Investigation • Prosecution

Editorial

EDITORIAL

AUTHOR

Christos Hadjiemmanuil

CITATION SUGGESTION

C. Hadjiemmanuil, "Guest Editorial eucrim 4/2015", 2015, Vol. 10(4), eucrim, p129. DOI: <https://doi.org/10.30709/eucrim-2015-017>

Published in
2015, Vol. 10(4) eucrim p 129
ISSN: 1862-6947
<https://eucrim.eu>



Dear Readers,

The imposition of tight regulatory controls on banks and other financial intermediaries is a universal characteristic of modern economic systems. The frequency and intensity of legislative and administrative measures affecting financial activities demonstrate the state's incessant concern with the way in which the market operates in this field. However, the precise perimeter of the regulated sector varies from one jurisdiction to another and changes over time. The same is true of the form and direction of the regulatory interventions. This raises important questions about the existence or otherwise of common threads – common objectives and overarching justifications – holding together the edifice of financial regulation.

The discussion on regulatory objectives has both a positive and a normative aspect. The regulatory regime's actual objectives constitute an indispensable element of its description. What purposes does financial regulation serve? Are these the same for all sectors of the financial industry? Is the current regulatory regime a continuation of earlier state interventions in financial markets, in the sense that, despite any technical adaptations of the tools employed, the objectives have remained essentially stable, or something fundamentally different? The answers to these questions are important for understanding the nature and functions of the regulatory regime. An identification of the regulatory objectives is also essential for the correct legal assessment of particular factual situations and ensuing administrative responses.

Equally important is the discussion of the means, or tools, used for achieving the set objectives. Traditionally, the policy debates have focused on the substantive regulatory norms. Questions of optimal enforcement have received a lesser amount of attention. In terms of regulatory tools, the emphasis has been predominantly on administrative supervision, enforcement and sanctions. Of course, there is variation across sectors and issues. In the case of prudential regulation in the banking and insurance sectors, private actions and criminal sanctions are, as a general rule, of marginal, if any, importance. In contrast, in securities regulation the possibility of (and conditions for) private enforcement is a perennially debated issue; and in certain areas, including in particular market abuse and securities fraud, criminal sanctions have always played a central role.

In any event, in the wake of the Global Financial Crisis, the time is opportune for a concerted reassessment of the situation. A host of new regulatory requirements are now in operation; their application and enforcement poses considerable dilemmas and difficulties, both from the perspective of regulatory effectiveness and from a rule-of-law viewpoint. In this context, the actual and potential contribution of criminal law in the smooth operation of banking and financial markets, the protection of their users and the preservation of systemic stability requires explicit and detailed consideration.

Recent legislative developments at the EU level, in particular, may tend to increase the significance of criminal sanctions in this area. Even in the prudential field, the emerging 'single rulebook' is not confined to imposing obligations on financial intermediaries as legal persons, but pierces the corporate veil to place novel regulatory burdens on board members and directors personally. The new provisions thus establish significant behavioural standards for individuals and, in an increasing number of instances, require the penalization of substandard conduct. This is bound to bring familiar concepts and considerations of criminal law to bear on a hitherto distant legal field – thus opening new vistas both for regulatory and for criminal lawyers.

COPYRIGHT/DISCLAIMER

© 2019 The Author(s). Published by the Max Planck Institute for the Study of Crime, Security and Law. This is an open

access article published under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution-NoDerivatives 4.0 International (CC BY-ND 4.0) licence. This permits users to share (copy and redistribute) the material in any medium or format for any purpose, even commercially, provided that appropriate credit is given, a link to the license is provided, and changes are indicated. If users remix, transform, or build upon the material, they may not distribute the modified material. For details, see <https://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nd/4.0/>.

Views and opinions expressed in the material contained in eucrim are those of the author(s) only and do not necessarily reflect those of the editors, the editorial board, the publisher, the European Union, the European Commission, or other contributors. Sole responsibility lies with the author of the contribution. The publisher and the European Commission are not responsible for any use that may be made of the information contained therein.

About eucrim

eucrim is the leading journal serving as a European forum for insight and debate on criminal and “criministrative” law. For over 20 years, it has brought together practitioners, academics, and policymakers to exchange ideas and shape the future of European justice. From its inception, eucrim has placed focus on the protection of the EU’s financial interests – a key driver of European integration in “criministrative” justice policy.

Editorially reviewed articles published in English, French, or German, are complemented by timely news and analysis of legal and policy developments across Europe.

All content is freely accessible at <https://eucrim.eu>, with four online and print issues published annually.

Stay informed by emailing to eucrim-subscribe@csl.mpg.de to receive alerts for new releases.

The project is co-financed by the [Union Anti-Fraud Programme \(UAFP\)](#), managed by the [European Anti-Fraud Office \(OLAF\)](#).



**Co-funded by
the European Union**