Guest Editorial eucrim 1-2025



Vânia Costa Ramos

Editorial

EDITORIAL

AUTHOR

Vânia Costa Ramos

Advogada (Attorney-at-Law) Carlos Pinto de Abreu e Associados, Sociedade de Advogados

CITATION SUGGESTION

V. Costa Ramos, "Guest Editorial eucrim 1-2025", 2025, Vol. 20(1), eucrim, p1. DOI: https://doi.org/10.30709/eucrim-2025-001

Published in

2025, Vol. 20(1) eucrim p 1

ISSN: 1862-6947 https://eucrim.eu





Dear Readers,

Over two decades have passed since the EU's first attempt to harmonise procedural rights in criminal proceedings across the bloc. The 2009 Roadmap, adopted under the Swedish Council Presidency, led to six key directives: on interpretation and translation, information rights, access to a lawyer, presumption of innocence and the right to be present at trial, safeguards for children, and legal aid. Two recommendations followed, addressing vulnerable persons and pre-trial detention conditions.

Yet, despite these advances, the EU still lacks a coherent and equal system of procedural rights. While the existing instruments might give the impression of a developing European code of criminal procedure, this is far from the reality. In practice, individuals and corporations continue to face significant disparities in legal protections depending on where they are investigated or prosecuted.

Basic elements remain fragmented. Just to give a few examples: whether a person whose assets are frozen may access case materials to contest the measure, and by what means; whether a lawyer can be present during a search; whether the accused is granted access to a copy of a seized device containing digital evidence; whether intercepted communications may be used in subsequent prosecutions; whether hacking for evidence is admissible; whether a poor person can choose their own lawyer; whether defence lawyers are permitted to conduct independent investigations; whether an accused person residing in another Members State may attend their trial remotely, etc.

The 2009 Lisbon Treaty expanded the EU's competence in the field of criminal justice, providing for minimum rules in areas such as mutual admissibility of evidence, the rights of individuals and victims, and other procedural aspects. It also laid the foundation for the creation of the European Public Prosecutor's Office (EPPO). Since then, the EU criminal justice landscape has evolved dramatically: the EPPO is now operational, mutual recognition instruments are widely used, and the mandates of both Europol and Eurojust have grown – with further expansion under discussion.

In this context, claiming that no further EU action is needed is not only short-sighted but also undermines the Union's commitment to justice and fundamental rights. As I have previously stated: "There is no such equal and effective protection throughout the EU. Even well-established rights at national level become blurred in cross-border or EU-led prosecutions." This legal uncertainty weakens both the protection of rights and the effectiveness of justice. It is no longer acceptable that individuals or companies can be subject to cross-border investigations – often led by EU bodies – without consistent procedural protections or access to EU-funded legal aid.

We urgently need a new generation of EU rules to address the following key areas:

- The right to legal assistance and legal aid, including in cross-border cases;
- Legal privilege and confidentiality;
- · Safeguards for interception measures, especially those not tied to a specific territory;
- Procedural rights concerning digital evidence;
- · Access to the case file;
- · Remote participation in proceedings;
- · Pre-trial detention standards;

- Effective remedies, including exclusionary rules for evidence obtained in violation of rights;
- · Harmonised safeguards in EPPO proceedings;
- · Mutual recognition of protective decisions such as extradition refusals;
- A meaningful right to judicial review before the CJEU, particularly regarding EPPO acts.

We must move toward a truly European framework of defence rights – one that reflects the digital age, the transnational nature of crime, and the evolving role of EU institutions.

The ongoing High-Level Forum on the Future of Criminal Justice is a unique opportunity to shape this vision. The EU must be bold and resolute in advancing the area of freedom, security, and justice. A new roadmap for procedural rights, adapted to today's realities and built to last, is not only necessary, but long overdue.

COPYRIGHT/DISCLAIMER

© 2025 The Author(s). Published by the Max Planck Institute for the Study of Crime, Security and Law. This is an open access article published under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution-NoDerivatives 4.0 International (CC BY-ND 4.0) licence. This permits users to share (copy and redistribute) the material in any medium or format for any purpose, even commercially, provided that appropriate credit is given, a link to the license is provided, and changes are indicated. If users remix, transform, or build upon the material, they may not distribute the modified material. For details, see https://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nd/4.0/.

Views and opinions expressed in the material contained in eucrim are those of the author(s) only and do not necessarily reflect those of the editors, the editorial board, the publisher, the European Union, the European Commission, or other contributors. Sole responsibility lies with the author of the contribution. The publisher and the European Commission are not responsible for any use that may be made of the information contained therein.

About eucrim

eucrim is the leading journal serving as a European forum for insight and debate on criminal and "criministrative" law. For over 20 years, it has brought together practitioners, academics, and policymakers to exchange ideas and shape the future of European justice. From its inception, eucrim has placed focus on the protection of the EU's financial interests – a key driver of European integration in "criministrative" justice policy.

Editorially reviewed articles published in English, French, or German, are complemented by timely news and analysis of legal and policy developments across Europe.

All content is freely accessible at https://eucrim.eu, with four online and print issues published annually.

Stay informed by emailing to eucrim-subscribe@csl.mpg.de to receive alerts for new releases.

The project is co-financed by the Union Anti-Fraud Programme (UAFP), managed by the European Anti-Fraud Office (OLAF).

