Guest editorial eucrim 1-2023



Sabine Gless, Katalin Ligeti

EDITORIAL

AUTHORS

Sabine Gless

University of Basel, Switzerland

Katalin Ligeti

Professor of European and International Criminal Law Université du Luxembourg

CITE THIS ARTICLE

Gless, S., & Ligeti, K. (2023). Guest editorial eucrim 1-2023. Eucrim - The European Criminal Law Associations' Forum. https://doi.org/10.30709/eucrim-2023-001

Published in eucrim 2023, Vol. 18(1)

https://eucrim.eu

ISSN:





Dear Readers,

Artificial Intelligence (AI) has the potential to help us in many ways. One of the promising fields in which AI can be employed is in the fight against crime, as is spotlighted by a number of contributions in this issue, e.g. on AI's impact on anti-money-laundering regimes or on the employment of AI to prevent cross-border human trafficking. AI also shows its immense potential when applied in the field of forensic analysis, where robots equipped with advanced imaging and analysis capabilities can assist. They are not only capable of processing evidence, collecting fingerprints, analysing DNA samples, and performing other tasks that require technical precision and complex calculations; they also open up effective options for surveillance: Robots equipped with cameras and sensors can be deployed for surveillance purposes, without restrictions on working hours or other human constraints.

In "I, Robot", Isaac Asimov writes: "You just can't differentiate between a robot and the very best of humans." In our view, this is not true: In some ways, robots are better. And law enforcement seems to agree, as their hope is that AI monitoring of public areas and the gathering of video footage can help in the prevention and detection of crimes as well as in the enhancement of public safety, with robots being deployed to patrol high-security areas. Robots can also be utilized in search and rescue operations, especially in hazardous environments in which human access is limited or dangerous. They can navigate debris, locate missing persons, and provide rescue teams with critical information. Robots designed for bomb disposal can be used to handle potentially explosive devices safely and defuse dangerous situations without risking human lives.

However, the benefits are accompanied by drawbacks. Two research projects have been launched to fully understand the pros and cons: at the University of Basel on "Human-Robot Interactions: Legal Blame, Criminal Law and Procedure" and at the University of Luxembourg on "Criminal Proceedings and the use of Al Output as Evidence". They do not only explore in detail the possible impact of Al on fact-finding in criminal justice but they also tackle other legal and societal concerns, including the detrimental effects on democracy when surveillance becomes a permanent feature of daily life, the lack of accountability for decisions taken by Al, and potential biases in algorithmic decision-making that can lead to discrimination.

These concerns have led to a demand for regulation, which is a complex issue. By now, several fixpoints for mitigating the risks have been identified such as more transparency in AI systems to allow humans to better understand the decision-making process and trace bias. While regulators grapple with the construction of an adequate legal framework by which to harness the benefits of AI, they must also ensure that it is balanced with the preservation of data privacy, safety, and security.

There are many reasons why human supervision and responsibility will be key for the application of AI, with a differentiation between more or less sensitive areas. Thus, the potentially most provocative question is asked in this issue: Why do we still need a "human court" if we could use an AI-driven tool to render decisions much more cheaply, quickly, and possibly even more fairly? The answer might well be that we, as humans, want to take meaningful responsibility for decisions made on the lives of others and for shaping the society they live in. After all, we wish to avoid a future like the one described in Alex Garland's "Ex Machina": "AI looks back on us the same way we look at fossil skeletons on the plains of Africa. An upright ape living in dust with crude language and tools, all set for extinction."

To avoid this, the core human task persists: to understand the capabilities and limitations of technology and use it wisely based on scientific research.

COPYRIGHT/DISCLAIMER

© 2023 The Author(s). Published by the Max Planck Institute for the Study of Crime, Security and Law. This is an open

access article published under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution-NoDerivatives 4.0 International (CC BY-ND 4.0) licence. This permits users to share (copy and redistribute) the material in any medium or format for any purpose, even commercially, provided that appropriate credit is given, a link to the license is provided, and changes are indicated. If users remix, transform, or build upon the material, they may not distribute the modified material. For details, see https://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nd/4.0/.

Views and opinions expressed in the material contained in eucrim are those of the author(s) only and do not necessarily reflect those of the editors, the editorial board, the publisher, the European Union, the European Commission, or other contributors. Sole responsibility lies with the author of the contribution. The publisher and the European Commission are not responsible for any use that may be made of the information contained therein.

ABOUT EUCRIM

eucrim is the leading journal serving as a European forum for insight and debate on criminal and "criministrative" law. For over 20 years, it has brought together practitioners, academics, and policymakers to exchange ideas and shape the future of European justice. From its inception, eucrim has placed focus on the protection of the EU's financial interests – a key driver of European integration in "criministrative" justice policy.

Editorially reviewed articles published in English, French, or German, are complemented by timely news and analysis of legal and policy developments across Europe.

All content is freely accessible at https://eucrim.eu, with four online and print issues published annually.

Stay informed by emailing to eucrim-subscribe@csl.mpg.de to receive alerts for new releases.

The project is co-financed by the Union Anti-Fraud Programme (UAFP), managed by the European Anti-Fraud Office (OLAF).

