

EPPO and Digital Challenges

Kristel Siitam-Nyiri



euclid

European Law Forum: Prevention • Investigation • Prosecution

Article

ABSTRACT

Digital solutions play the key role in the effectiveness of the European Public Prosecutor's Office.

AUTHOR

Kristel Siitam-Nyiri

European Prosecutor (Estonia)
European Public Prosecutor's Office

CITATION SUGGESTION

K. Siitam-Nyiri, "EPPO and Digital Challenges", 2021, Vol. 16(1), euclid, pp61–62. DOI: <https://doi.org/10.30709/euclid-2021-010>

Published in

2021, Vol. 16(1) euclid pp 61 – 62

ISSN: 1862-6947

<https://euclid.eu>



One of the challenges for the European Public Prosecutor's Office (EPPO), which the Member States identified during the negotiations on the EPPO Regulation, was the speed of criminal proceedings. How can we make sure that investigations will not take even longer with the addition of this new actor in the chain of criminal justice? Considering that most legal decisions during the investigation of a PIF crime will now be made in Luxembourg, will this make criminal proceedings even more bureaucratic?

One of the major principles, which was agreed on in the EPPO Regulation, is that the work of the EPPO should be carried out in electronic form. A case management system is to be established, owned, and managed by the EPPO.¹ It is clear that the EPPO can be effective only if the information exchange between the central office in Luxembourg and the European Delegated Prosecutors in the Member States is fast and smooth.

According to Art. 24 (2) of the EPPO Regulation, when a judicial or law enforcement authority of a Member State initiates an investigation in respect of a criminal offence for which the EPPO could exercise its competence, that authority shall inform the EPPO without undue delay, so that the latter can decide whether or not to exercise its right of evocation. We still do not know how large the current backlog of cases in the Member States is, in which the EPPO must decide on evocation. The initial estimates from the Member States indicate that this number could be around 3000 cases. There is no transitional period foreseen for when the EPPO becomes operational; it will start with full speed from day one, and any evocation decision must be made within 5 days.² In a "paper era," this would most likely be impossible. Imagine truckloads of case files (the volume of one case file for an average PIF crime could be anywhere from 50 to several thousand pages) transported to Luxembourg from 22 Member States – the logistics would inevitably raise questions about security, storage, time, workload, etc.

Taking into consideration that the College of the EPPO only started in early autumn of 2020 and that the time for actual preparatory work has been extremely short, it is quite impressive that, as of February 2021, the first version of the EPPO Case Management System (CMS) is ready to be launched. There is still a huge amount of work ahead of us, but this is a good starting point.

The main challenge in developing the CMS was that Member States and their judicial systems are at very different levels of digitalisation. When discussing digital procedure, we often speak in different languages. For some Member States, digitalisation means scanned pdf documents, for others it means sending a memory stick back and forth between law enforcement authorities, for yet a third group, it means metadata and the use of artificial intelligence. The EPPO's CMS must work for all of them, and it has to be a user-friendly tool facilitating the smooth exchange of information. The CMS must allow the EPPO to operate as a single office, where the case files administered by European Delegated Prosecutors are available to the central level for the exercise of its decision-making, monitoring, directional, and supervisory tasks.³

It is extremely difficult to digitalise cross-border judicial cooperation if the Member States are not digitalised at the national level. Yet, it is reassuring to see that the European Commission has acknowledged and emphasised this problem in its communication outlining plans to speed up the digitalisation of justice systems,⁴ including a toolbox and an action plan.

In order to ensure swift information exchange during investigations between the central office and the decentralised level, the EPPO will also rely on the cooperation and willingness of the Member States. I believe that EPPO's CMS could provide the impetus needed for those Member States still taking their first steps towards digitalisation. At the same time, we must keep in mind that we should not hold back states that are already more advanced in this field.

Digital tools are not only meant for communication between the EPPO's central office and European Delegated Prosecutors. We also need digital information exchange with Eurojust, Europol, and OLAF. It is necessary to cross-check different cases and information in order to coordinate the fight against cross-border crime in the most effective way. This requires developments in the respective case management systems but also possible updates to the legal framework.

We know that technology has become a horizontal dimension for all types of criminality. As criminals are increasingly using digital means to commit offences, it is clear that law enforcement and judicial authorities also have to take advantage of the rapid advancement of technology in order to keep up. Whether we talk about the interconnectivity of databases, asset recovery, predictive policing software, digital forensics, the use of analytical tools, etc., the use of technology in the fight against serious and cross-border crime, including crimes against the EU's financial interests, is crucial.

I believe that the EPPO will play an important role here. We can and should be ambitious in the use of digital tools. This way, we can create new synergies in cross-border cooperation and improve the effectiveness of justice.

As already mentioned above, we still have a huge amount of work ahead of us in order to ensure that the EPPO will be a modern and effective institution, with fully equipped digital processes. Being the pioneer in the field of prosecution at the EU level is definitively not only a challenge and responsibility but also a valuable opportunity. As we are starting a new organisation, we will not have to go to the trouble of adapting or changing the customary workflows. Instead, we will have the advantage of creating our own working processes, which will be up-to-date from the very beginning – using all the possibilities the digital world has to offer.

-
1. Recital 47, Arts. 44 and 45 of the EPPO Regulation.↵
 2. Art. 27 paragraph 1 of the EPPO Regulation.↵
 3. Art. 8 paragraph 1, Art. 45 paragraph 2, and recital 47 of the EPPO Regulation.↵
 4. <https://ec.europa.eu/info/sites/info/files/communication_digitalisation_en.pdf>.↵
-

COPYRIGHT/DISCLAIMER

© 2021 The Author(s). Published by the Max Planck Institute for the Study of Crime, Security and Law. This is an open access article published under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution-NoDerivatives 4.0 International (CC BY-ND 4.0) licence. This permits users to share (copy and redistribute) the material in any medium or format for any purpose, even commercially, provided that appropriate credit is given, a link to the license is provided, and changes are indicated. If users remix, transform, or build upon the material, they may not distribute the modified material. For details, see <https://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nd/4.0/>.

Views and opinions expressed in the material contained in eucrim are those of the author(s) only and do not necessarily reflect those of the editors, the editorial board, the publisher, the European Union, the European Commission, or other contributors. Sole responsibility lies with the author of the contribution. The publisher and the European Commission are not responsible for any use that may be made of the information contained therein.

About eucrim

eucrim is the leading journal serving as a European forum for insight and debate on criminal and "criministrative" law. For over 20 years, it has brought together practitioners, academics, and policymakers to exchange ideas and shape the future of European justice. From its inception, eucrim has placed focus on the protection of the EU's financial interests – a key driver of European integration in "criministrative" justice policy.

Editorially reviewed articles published in English, French, or German, are complemented by timely news and analysis of

legal and policy developments across Europe.

All content is freely accessible at <https://eucrim.eu>, with four online and print issues published annually.

Stay informed by emailing to eucrim-subscribe@csl.mpg.de to receive alerts for new releases.

The project is co-financed by the [Union Anti-Fraud Programme \(UAFB\)](#), managed by the [European Anti-Fraud Office \(OLAF\)](#).



**Co-funded by
the European Union**